## Reverse Dijkstra is Possible From Results - Solution



| $\#$ | U | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | 10 | - | 11 |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 8 | - | - | 10 | - | 11 |
| 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 8 | - | - | 10 | - | 11 |
| 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 100 | - | 10 | - | 11 |
| 5 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 15 | 10 | - | 11 |
| 6 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 15 | 10 | - | 11 |
| 7 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 13 | 10 | 14 | 11 |
| 8 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 12 | 10 | 14 | 11 |
| 9 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 12 | 10 | 13 | 11 |
| 10 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 12 | 10 | 13 | 11 |

For each iteration (1 to 10 ) the table shows the shortest path found by Dijkstra's algorithm performed on node $\mathbf{U}$ towards

## Reverse Dijkstra is Possible From Results

Could there be an additional link starting from node C which you could not identify based on the output from Dijkstra? If you think that is possible, give an example (link between node C and node ...) and indicate in which range the weight of this link could be. Otherwise, explain why this is not possible.


## Reverse Dijkstra is Possible From Results

Could there be an additional link starting from node C which you could not identify based on the output from Dijkstra? If you think that is possible, give an example (link between node C and node ...) and indicate in which range the weight of this link could be. Otherwise, explain why this is not possible.

Solution: Possible. For example link between C and G with weight greater (or equal) than 9.


## Link State Algorithms

Pros

- Fast convergence
- Event-driven updates
- Every router can determine the best path

Cons

- Computationally expensive
- Memory intensive
- If a network is constantly changing, bandwidth can suffer from overhead of messages


## Link State Protocols

Open Shortest Path First (OSPF)

- Dominant LS protocol
- The routing protocol used within large autonomous systems external is BGP (distance vector, next up)
- Open source
- If you have a network that is larger than small (>4 routers) you're probably best off using OSPF


## Routing

Link State == global view

Distance vector == local view

## Distance Vector Routing

Rather than building routes with a global view of the network, nodes (routers) only learn from their adjacent neighbors.

- Sometimes called "routing by rumor" or a "gossip" protocol


## Distance Vector Routing

- Let $d_{x}(y)$ be the cost of the least-cost path known by x to reach y

until convergence
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## Distance Vector Routing

- Let $d_{x}(y)$ be the cost of the least-cost path known by x to reach y
- Each node bundles these distances into one message (called a vector) that it repeatedly sends to all its neighbors
- Each node updates its distances based on neighbors' vectors:
- $d_{x}(y)=\min \left\{c(x, v)+d_{v}(y)\right\}$ over all neighbors $v$

We'll Compute the Shortest Path from u to D


## The Values Computed by a Node u Depend on What it Learns from its Neighbors (A and E)



```
\[
d_{x}(y)=\min \left\{c(x, v)+d_{v}(y)\right\}
\]
\[
\text { over all neighbors } v
\]
\[
\mathrm{d} u(D)=\min \left\{\mathrm{c}(u, \mathrm{~A})+\mathrm{d}_{A}(D)\right.
\]
\[
\mathrm{c}(u, \mathrm{E})+\mathrm{d} \mathrm{E}(D)\}
\]
```

To Understand, Let's Start with Direct Neighbors of D


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d} B(D)=1 \\
& \mathrm{~d} C(D)=4
\end{aligned}
$$

## B and C Announce Their Vectors to Their Neighbors, Which Allows A to Compute a Path to D



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d}_{A}(D)=\min \left\{2+\mathrm{d}_{B}(D),\right. \\
&1+\mathrm{d} C(D)\} \\
&=3
\end{aligned}
$$

## Any Time a Distance Vector Changes, Each Node Propagates it to its Neighbors



$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{E}(D)= \min \left\{1+d_{C}(D),\right. \\
& 4+d_{G}(D), \\
&\left.2+d_{u}(D)\right\} \\
&=5
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Process Eventually Converges to the Shortest Path Distance to Each Destination



$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} u(D)= & \min \left\{3+\mathrm{d}_{A(D)},\right. \\
& \left.2+\mathrm{d}_{E}(D)\right\} \\
= & 6
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar to LS Routing, u can Directly Create its Forwarding Table by Directing Traffic to the Best (whoever is advertising the lowest cost) Neighbor

## DV Walkthrough



## DV Walkthrough

## Node X:

|  | $X$ | $Y$ | $Z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X$ | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| $Y$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |
| $Z$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |

Node Y:

|  | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |
| Y | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Z | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |



Node Z:

|  | $X$ | $Y$ | $Z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |
| $Y$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |
| $Z$ | 7 | 1 | 0 |

## DV Walkthrough

## Node X:

Node X :

|  | X | Y | Z |  | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | 0 | 2 | 7 |  | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Y | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |  | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Z | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |  | 7 | 1 | 0 |
| Node Y: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | X | Y | Z | - | X | Y | Z |
| X | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | V | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| Y | 2 | 0 | 1 | , | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Z | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | , | 7 | 1 | 0 |
| Node Z: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | X | Y | Z | , | X | Y | Z |
| X | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |  | , | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| Y | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |  | 2 | 0 |  |
| Z | 7 | 1 | 0 |  | 3 | 1 | $0$ |



## DV Walkthrough

Node X:
Node Z:

$$
\begin{array}{cccc} 
& \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{Z} \\
\mathrm{X} & \infty & \infty & \infty \\
\mathrm{Y} & \infty & \infty & \infty \\
\mathrm{Z} & 7 & 1 & 0
\end{array}
$$



DV


## DV Solution

Node X:
Node Z:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{cccc} 
& \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{Z} \\
\mathrm{X} & \infty & \infty & \infty \\
\mathrm{Y} & \infty & \infty & \infty \\
\mathrm{Z} & 50 & 1 & 0
\end{array} \right\rvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 
& X \\
X & 0 \\
\mathrm{Y} & 4 \\
Z & 5
\end{array}
$$

Node Z:


## Distance Vector Suffers From the "Count to Infinity" Problem



## Count to Infinity

Node X:

|  | $X$ | $Y$ | $Z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X$ | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| $Y$ | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| $Z$ | 5 | 1 | 0 |

(Ignore X for simplicity)

Node Y:

|  | $X$ | $Y$ | $Z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X$ | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| $Y$ | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| $Z$ | 5 | 1 | 0 |

Node Z:

|  | $X$ | $Y$ | $Z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X$ | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| $Y$ | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| $Z$ | 5 | 1 | 0 |

## Count to Infinity

Node X:

|  | $X$ | $Y$ | $Z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X$ | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| $Y$ | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| $Z$ | 5 | 1 | 0 |

(Ignore X for simplicity)

Node Y:
Node Y:

|  | $X$ | $Y$ | $Z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X$ | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| $Y$ | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| $Z$ | 5 | 1 | 0 |

Node Z:

|  | $X$ | $Y$ | $Z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X$ | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| $Y$ | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| $Z$ | 5 | 1 | 0 |

Node Z:

|  | $X$ | $Y$ | $Z$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $X$ | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| $Y$ | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| $Z$ | 5 | 1 | 0 |

## Count to Infinity

Node X:

|  | $X$ | $Y$ | $Z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X$ | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| $Y$ | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| $Z$ | 5 | 1 | 0 |

(Ignore X for simplicity)

| Node $Y:$ |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $X$ | $Y$ | $Z$ |
| $X$ | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| $Y$ | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| $Z$ | 5 | 1 | 0 |

Node Z:

|  | $X$ | $Y$ | $Z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X$ | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| $Y$ | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| $Z$ | 5 | 1 | 0 |

## Count to Infinity

Node X:

|  | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| Y | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| Z | 5 | 1 | 0 |

(Ignore X for simplicity)

Node Y:

Node Z:

|  | $X$ | $Y$ | $Z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X$ | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| $Y$ | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| $Z$ | 5 | 1 | 0 |

## DV Routing

"Bad News Travels Slowly, Good News Travels Fast"

## Distance Vector Algorithms

Pros

- Simple to configure / maintain
- Only need a local view of the world


## Cons

- Slow to converge
- Loops are possible
- Count to infinity
- Wastes bandwidth constant updates even when nothing changes

