## Example

Consider the network on the right consisting of 5 nodes (A to E). Each link has a maximal bandwidth indicated in red. 7 flows ( 1 to 7) are using the network at the same time. You can assume that they have to send a lot of traffic and will use whatever bandwidth they will get. Apply the max-min fair allocation algorithm to find a fair bandwidth allocation for each flow.


For each flow, what is the bottleneck link?

Design a correct, timely, efficient and fair transport mechanism knowing that
packets can get lost
corrupted
reordered
delayed
duplicated

Dealing with corruption is easy:
Rely on a checksum, treat corrupted packets as lost

# The effect of reordering depends on the type of ACKing mechanism used 

individual ACKs<br>full feedback<br>no problem<br>cumm. ACKs<br>create duplicate ACKs

Long delays can create useless timeouts, for all designs

# Packet duplicates can lead to duplicate ACKs whose effects will depend on the ACKing mechanism used 

individual ACKs<br>full feedback<br>cumm. ACKs<br>problematic

# Here is one correct, timely, efficient and fair transport mechanism 

ACKing<br>retransmission<br>after timeout<br>after $k$ subsequent ACKs<br>window management<br>additive increase upon successful delivery<br>multiple decrease when timeouts

We'll come back to this when we see TCP

## Reliable Transport Examples

## Go-Back-N (GBN) is a simple sliding window protocol using cumulative ACKs

principle receiver should be as simple as possible
receiver delivers packets in-order to the upper layer for each received segment, ACK the last in-order packet delivered (cumulative)
sender
use a single timer to detect loss, reset at each new ACK upon timeout, resend all W packets
starting with the lost one

## Go-Back-N (GBN) is a simple sliding window protocol using cumulative ACKs

receiver should be as simple as possible


# Selective Repeat (SR) avoids unnecessary retransmissions by using per-packet ACKs 

principle
receiver
sender
acknowledge each packet, in-order or not
buffer out-of-order packets
avoids unnecessary retransmissions
use per-packet timer to detect loss upon loss, only resend the lost packet

## Selective Repeat (SR) avoids unnecessary retransmissions by using per-packet ACKs

```
principle avoids unnecessary retransmissions
```

receiver | Only retransmit the packets that were lost, receiver is |
| :--- |
| more complex |

sender
use per-packet limer to uetect ioss only resend the lost packet

Illustration
https://www2.tkn.tu-berlin.de/teaching/rn/animations/gbn sr/

## GBN Question

Assume you have a Go-Back-N (GBN) sender and receiver. The receiver acknowledges each data segment with a cumulative ACK which indicates the next expected data segment. Furthermore, it saves out-of-order segments in a buffer. The sender and receiver buffer can contain four segments each. The time-out period is much larger than the time required for the sender to transmit four segments in a row.

- The sender wants to transmit 10 data segments $(0, . . ., 9)$ to the receiver. Assume that exactly one segment is lost. How many segments has the sender to transmit in the best and worst case? For each case, indicate which segment was lost
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Assume you have a Go-Back-N (GBN) sender and receiver. The receiver acknowledges each data segment with a cumulative ACK which indicates the next expected data segment. Furthermore, it saves out-of-order segments in a buffer. The sender and receiver buffer can contain four segments each. The time-out period is much larger than the time required for the sender to transmit four segments in a row.

- The sender wants to transmit 10 data segments $(0, \ldots, 9)$ to the receiver. Assume that exactly one segment is lost. How many segments has the sender to transmit in the best and worst case? For each case, indicate which segment was lost
- Best case: 11 segments, the last segment is dropped.
- Worst case: 14 segments, e.g., if the second segment is dropped. GBN will retransmit all packets in the current window
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## GBN Question

Assume you have a Go-Back-N (GBN) sender and receiver. The receiver acknowledges each data segment with a cumulative ACK which indicates the next expected data segment. Furthermore, it saves out-of-order segments in a buffer. The sender and receiver buffer can contain four segments each. The time-out period is much larger than the time required for the sender to transmit four segments in a row.

- Once again, the sender wants to transmit 10 data segments $(0, \ldots, 9)$ to the receiver. This time, assume that exactly one ACK is lost. How many segments does the sender have to transmit in the best and worst case and which ACK was lost?
- Best case: 10 segments, e.g., the ACK for segment 5 is lost. Since GBN uses cumulative ACKs, the ACK for segment 6 implicitly also acknowledges segment 5.
- Worst case: 11 segments, the ACK for the very last segment is lost.

